

Nuclear recoil corrections to the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state energy of
hydrogen-like and high Z lithium-like atoms in all
orders in αZ

A.N.Artemyev, V.M.Shabaev, and V.A.Yerokhin

*Department of Physics, St.Petersburg State University,
Oulianovskaya 1, Petrodvorets, St.Petersburg 198904, Russia*

Nuclear recoil corrections ...

PACS number: 3130J

Abstract

The relativistic nuclear recoil corrections to the energy of the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of hydrogen-like and the $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of high Z lithium-like atoms in all orders in αZ are calculated. The calculations are carried out using the B-spline method for the Dirac equation. For low Z the results of the calculation are in good agreement with the αZ -expansion results. It is found that the total nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of the $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{3}{2}} - (1s)^2 2s$ transition in lithium-like uranium constitutes -0.09 eV .

1 Introduction

Till recently accurate QED calculations of the nuclear recoil corrections to atomic energy levels were of interest mainly in connection with high precision measurements of the Lamb shift in hydrogen [1,2]. In this case the nuclear recoil corrections may be calculated in lowest orders in αZ (α is the fine structure constant, Z is the nuclear charge). However recent achievements in experimental investigations of highly charged ions [3] require calculations of the nuclear recoil corrections right up $Z = 92$. In the last case the parameter αZ can no longer be considered small and, so, the calculations without expansion in αZ are required.

In our previous paper [4] we calculated the nuclear recoil corrections in all orders in αZ for the $1s$, $2s$, $2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ states of hydrogen-like atoms and the $(1s)^2 2s$, $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ states of high Z lithium-like atoms. We found that the nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of the $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{1}{2}} - (1s)^2 2s$ transition in lithium-like uranium constitutes $-0.07 eV$ and, so, is comparable with the uncertainty of the experimental value of the transition energy: $280.59(10) eV$ [3]. We found also the nuclear recoil contribution, additional to Salpeter's one, to the Lamb shift ($n = 2$) of hydrogen to be $-1.32(6) kHz$. This result is in good agreement with recent analytical calculations of the $\frac{m^2}{M}(\alpha Z)^6 \ln(\alpha Z)$ and $\frac{m^2}{M}(\alpha Z)^6$ corrections [5-8], according to which the terms of order $\frac{m^2}{M}(\alpha Z)^6 \ln(\alpha Z)$ cancel each other [5,6], the contribution of order $\frac{m^2}{M}(\alpha Z)^6$ is $-0.77 kHz$ for the $2s$ state [7] and $0.58 kHz$ for the $2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ state [8].

In the present paper we extend the results of [4] to the case of the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of hydrogen-like and high Z lithium-like atoms.

The relativistic units $\hbar = c = 1$ are used in the paper.

2 Hydrogen-like atoms

The complete αZ -dependence expressions for the nuclear recoil corrections to the energy levels of hydrogen-like atoms were first derived by one of the authors of the present paper [9] (a part of the expressions was found earlier by Braun [10]). These expressions consist of three contributions: the Coulomb contribution, the one-transverse-photon contribution, and the two-transverse-photon contribution. For a state a the Coulomb contribution is

given by

$$\Delta E_c = \Delta E_c^{(1)} + \Delta E_c^{(2)}, \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta E_c^{(1)} = \langle a | \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2M} | a \rangle, \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta E_c^{(2)} = \frac{2\pi i}{M} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \delta_+^2(\omega) \langle a | [\mathbf{p}, V_c] G(\omega + \varepsilon_a) [\mathbf{p}, V_c] | a \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where $|a\rangle$ is the unperturbed state of the Dirac electron in the Coulomb field of the nucleus, $V_c = -\frac{\alpha Z}{r}$ is the Coulomb potential of the nucleus, \mathbf{p} is the momentum operator, $\delta_+(\omega) = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\omega + i0)^{-1}$, $G(\omega) = (\omega - H(1 - i0))^{-1}$ is the relativistic Coulomb Green function, $H = \boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathbf{p} + \beta m + V_c$. The one-transverse-photon contribution is

$$\Delta E_{tr(1)} = \Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(1)} + \Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(2)}, \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2M} \langle a | (\mathbf{D}(0)\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}\mathbf{D}(0)) | a \rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(2)} = & -\frac{1}{M} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \delta_+(\omega) \langle a | ([\mathbf{p}, V_c] G(\omega + \varepsilon_a) \mathbf{D}(\omega) \\ & - \mathbf{D}(\omega) G(\omega + \varepsilon_a) [\mathbf{p}, V_c]) | a \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$D_m(\omega) = -4\pi\alpha Z\alpha_l D_{lm}(\omega), \quad (7)$$

α_l ($l = 1, 2, 3$) are the Dirac matrices, $D_{lm}(\omega)$ is the transverse part of the photon propagator in the Coulomb gauge. In the coordinate representation it is

$$D_{ik}(\omega, \mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left\{ \frac{\exp(i|\omega|r)}{r} \delta_{ik} + \nabla_i \nabla_k \frac{(\exp(i|\omega|r) - 1)}{\omega^2 r} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

The two-transverse-photon contribution is

$$\Delta E_{tr(2)} = \frac{i}{2\pi M} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \langle a | \mathbf{D}(\omega) G(\omega + \varepsilon_a) \mathbf{D}(\omega) | a \rangle. \quad (9)$$

The terms $\Delta E_c^{(1)}$ and $\Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(1)}$ are leading at low Z . These terms can easily be calculated by using the virial relations for the Dirac equation [11-13]. Such a calculation gives [9]

$$\Delta E^{(1)} \equiv \Delta E_c^{(1)} + \Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(1)} = \frac{m^2 - \varepsilon_a^2}{2M}. \quad (10)$$

The αZ -expansion of this term is given in [4].

The terms $\Delta E_c^{(2)}$, $\Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(2)}$, and $\Delta E_{tr(2)}$ are given in the form that allows one to use the relativistic Coulomb Green function for their calculation. (As was found by Pachucki and Grotch [7], this form is convenient for the αZ -expansion calculations as well.) In [4] we transformed these equations to the form that is more convenient for calculations using the finite basis set methods [14] and calculated them for the $1s$, $2s$, and $2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ states by using the B-spline method for the Dirac equation [15,16]. The calculation for the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state considered here was carried out in the same way.

Table 1 shows the results of the numerical calculation for the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state expressed in terms of the function $P(\alpha Z)$ defined by

$$\Delta E^{(2)} = \Delta E_c^{(2)} + \Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(2)} + \Delta E_{tr(2)} = \frac{m}{M} \frac{(\alpha Z)^5}{\pi n^3} P(\alpha Z) mc^2 \quad (11)$$

The functions P_c , $P_{tr(1)}$, and $P_{tr(2)}$ correspond to the contributions $\Delta E_c^{(2)}$, $\Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(2)}$, and $\Delta E_{tr(2)}$, respectively. For comparison, the Salpeter's contribution [17] is

$$P_S(\alpha Z) = \frac{8}{3} 0.030017 - \frac{7}{18} = -0.30884. \quad (12)$$

The uncertainties given in the table correspond only to errors of the numerical calculation. In addition, there is an uncertainty due to deviation from the point single particle model of the nucleus used here.

To make a more detailed comparison with the αZ -expansion calculations we represent the function $P(\alpha Z)$ in the form

$$P(\alpha Z) = a_1 + a_2 \alpha Z + a_3 (\alpha Z)^2 + a_4 (\alpha Z)^3 \quad (13)$$

The coefficients a_i can be calculated from our numerical results for the $P(\alpha Z)$ -function. Such a calculation using the values of the $P(\alpha Z)$ -function for $Z=1,2,3,5,10,15$ gives

$$a_1 = -0.30883, \quad a_2 = 1.040. \quad (14)$$

The coefficient a_1 is in good agreement with the Salpeter's result given by the equation (12). The coefficient a_2 coincides, within errors of the numerical procedure, with the corresponding coefficient ($a_2 = 1.047$) obtained by Golosov et.al. [8] (the related coefficient from the contribution (10) is equal to zero).

According to our numerical calculations the contribution of the difference between $\Delta E^{(2)}$ and Salpeter's correction for the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of hydrogen constitutes $0.42(2) \text{ kHz}$. Analytical result for the $\frac{m^2}{M}(\alpha Z)^6$ correction found in [8] gives 0.423 kHz . (In addition to this correction, in [8] the correction of order $\frac{m^2}{M}\alpha^2(\alpha Z)^4$ is calculated to be 0.014 kHz .)

Let us consider the nuclear recoil corrections for hydrogen-like uranium. According to the formula (10) the first correction is

$$\Delta E_{2p_{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(1)} = 0.0664 \text{ eV} . \quad (15)$$

The second correction defined by (11) is

$$\Delta E_{2p_{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(2)} = 0.0014 \text{ eV} . \quad (16)$$

In the next section we use these results to find the total nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}} - 2s$ transition in lithium-like uranium.

3 High Z lithium-like atoms

The complete αZ -dependence expressions for the nuclear recoil corrections to the energy levels of high Z few-electron atoms were derived in [18]. These corrections are the sum of one- and two-electron contributions. The one-electron corrections are obtained by summing all the one-electron contributions over all the one-electron states that are occupied. The two-electron corrections for the case considered here are

$$\Delta E_c^{(int)} = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_{1s}} \langle a | \mathbf{p} | n \rangle \langle n | \mathbf{p} | a \rangle , \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(int)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_{1s}} \{ & \langle a | \mathbf{p} | n \rangle \langle n | \mathbf{D}(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_n) | a \rangle \\ & + \langle a | \mathbf{D}(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_n) | n \rangle \langle n | \mathbf{p} | a \rangle \} , \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\Delta E_{tr(2)}^{(int)} = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_{1s}} \langle a | \mathbf{D}(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_n) | n \rangle \langle n | \mathbf{D}(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_n) | a \rangle. \quad (19)$$

The table 2 shows the results of the calculation of the corrections (17),(18), and (19) for the $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state expressed in terms of the function $Q(\alpha Z)$ defined by

$$\Delta E^{int} \equiv \Delta E_c^{(int)} + \Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(int)} + \Delta E_{tr(2)}^{(int)} = -\frac{2^9}{3^8} \frac{m^2}{M} (\alpha Z)^2 Q(\alpha Z). \quad (20)$$

Here we have taken into account the known non-relativistic limit of this correction [19]. Within the $\frac{m^2}{M}(\alpha Z)^4$ approximation the function $Q(\alpha Z)$ that we denote by $Q_L(\alpha Z)$ is [20]

$$Q_L(\alpha Z) = 1 + (\alpha Z)^2 \left(-\frac{13}{48} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{27}{32} \right). \quad (21)$$

For comparison, this function is given in the table as well. The functions $Q_c(\alpha Z)$, $Q_{tr(1)}(\alpha Z)$, and $Q_{tr(2)}(\alpha Z)$ correspond to the corrections $\Delta E_c^{(int)}$, $\Delta E_{tr(1)}^{(int)}$, and $\Delta E_{tr(2)}^{(int)}$, respectively. In leading orders in αZ they are

$$Q_c(\alpha Z) = 1 + (\alpha Z)^2 \left(\frac{17}{48} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{27}{32} \right), \quad (22)$$

$$Q_{tr(1)}(\alpha Z) = \frac{5}{8} (\alpha Z)^2, \quad (23)$$

$$Q_{tr(2)}(\alpha Z) = -\frac{25}{256} (\alpha Z)^4. \quad (24)$$

For low Z , in addition to the corrections considered here, the Coulomb electron-electron interaction corrections to the non-relativistic nuclear recoil contribution must be calculated separately. The main contribution from these corrections is of order $\frac{1}{Z}(\alpha Z)^2 \frac{m^2}{M}$.

Let us find the total nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of the $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{3}{2}} - (1s)^2 2s$ transition in lithium-like uranium. According to our calculation the term ΔE^{int} contributes -0.0345 eV . Adding to this value the one-electron contribution defined by (15) and (16) and using the related values for the $2s$ state from [4] we find

$$\Delta E_{(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{3}{2}}} - \Delta E_{(1s)^2 2s} = -0.094 \text{ eV}.$$

This value is significant enough to be included in accurate QED calculations of the transition energy.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Dr. S.G.Karshenboim for stimulating discussions. Useful discussions with Dr. T.Beier are gratefully acknowledged. The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Grant No. NWU300 from the International Science Foundation and Grant No. 95-02-05571a from the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Investigations. A.N.A. thanks I.V.Kononov for financial support.

References

- [1] Pal'chikov V G, Sokolov Yu L and Yakovlev V P 1983 Pis'ma Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **38** 347
- [2] Hagley E W and Pipkin F M 1994 Phys.Rev.Lett. **72** 1172
- [3] Schweppe J, Belkacem A, Blumenfeld L, Claytor N, Feinberg B, Gould H, Kostroun V E, Levy L, Misawa S, Mowat J R and Prior M H 1991 Phys.Rev.Lett. **66** 1434
- [4] Artemyev A N, Shabaev V M and Yerokhin V A 1995 Phys.Rev.A **52** 1884
- [5] Khriplovich I B, Milstein A I and Yelkhovsky A S 1993 Phys.Scr. T **46** 252
- [6] Fell R N, Khriplovich I B, Milstein A I and Yelkhovsky A S 1993 Phys.Lett.A **181** 172
- [7] Pachucki K and Grotch H 1995 Phys.Rev.A **51** 1854
- [8] Golosov E A, Khriplovich I B, Milstein A I and Yelkhovsky A S 1995 Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **107** 393 (JETP **80**, 208)
- [9] Shabaev V M 1985 Teor.Mat.Fiz. **63** 394 (Theor.Math.Phys. **63** 588); 1986 In: Papers at First Soviet-British Symposium on Spectroscopy of Multicharged Ions (Troitsk: Academy of Sciences) pp 238-240
- [10] Braun M A 1973 Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **64** 413
- [11] Epstein J and Epstein S 1962 Am.J.Phys. **30** 266
- [12] Shabaev V M 1984 Vestn.Leningrad.Univ. N4 15
- [13] Shabaev V M 1991 J.Phys.B: At.Mol.Opt.Phys. **24** 4479
- [14] Grant I P and Quiney H M 1988 Adv.At.Mol.Phys. **23** 37
- [15] Johnson W R, Blundell S A and Sapirstein J 1988 Phys.Rev.A **37** 307
- [16] Froese Fisher C and Parpia F A 1993 Phys.Lett.A **179** 198

- [17] Salpeter E E 1952 Phys.Rev. **87** 328
- [18] Shabaev V M 1988 Yad.Fiz. **47** 107 (Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. **47** 69)
- [19] Hughes D S and Eckart C 1930 Phys.Rev. **36** 694
- [20] Shabaev V M and Artemyev A N 1994 J.Phys.B: At.Mol.Opt.Phys. **27** 1307

Table 1: The results of the numerical calculation of the one-electron nuclear recoil corrections to the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state energy expressed in terms of the function $P(\alpha Z)$ defined by equation (11).

Z	$P_c(\alpha Z)$	$P_{tr(1)}(\alpha Z)$	$P_{tr(2)}(\alpha Z)$	$P(\alpha Z)$
1	0.0000	-0.1385(2)	-0.1630(3)	-0.3013(4)
5	-0.0001	-0.1230	-0.1493(1)	-0.2724(1)
10	-0.0004	-0.1037	-0.1338	-0.2379
15	-0.0008	-0.0841	-0.1198	-0.2047
20	-0.0012	-0.0644	-0.1069	-0.1726
25	-0.0017	-0.0446	-0.0950	-0.1413
30	-0.0021	-0.0245	-0.0841	-0.1107
35	-0.0026	-0.0042	-0.0741	-0.0081
40	-0.0031	0.0163	-0.0649	-0.0517
45	-0.0035	0.0372	-0.0567	-0.0230
50	-0.0040	0.0585	-0.0496	0.0050
55	-0.0044	0.0802	-0.0432	0.0326
60	-0.0049	0.1025	-0.0379	0.0597
65	-0.0054	0.1254	-0.0337	0.0863
70	-0.0058	0.1490	-0.0307	0.1125
75	-0.0063	0.1735	-0.0289	0.1384
80	-0.0068	0.1990	-0.0285	0.1638
85	-0.0072	0.2256	-0.0294	0.1889
90	-0.0078	0.2534	-0.0319	0.2138
92	-0.0080	0.2649	-0.0334	0.2236
95	-0.0083	0.2827	-0.0361	0.2383
100	-0.0088	0.3135	-0.0422	0.2625

Table 2: The results of the numerical calculation of the nuclear recoil correction $\Delta E^{(int)}$ for the $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of lithium-like ions expressed in terms of the function $Q(\alpha Z)$ defined by equation (20). $Q_L(\alpha Z)$ is the leading contribution defined by equation (21).

Z	$Q_c(\alpha Z)$	$Q_{tr(1)}(\alpha Z)$	$Q_{tr(2)}(\alpha Z)$	$Q(\alpha Z)$	$Q_L(\alpha Z)$
5	1.00036	-0.00083	0.00000	0.99953	0.99953
10	1.00143	-0.00333	0.00000	0.99810	0.99811
15	1.00323	-0.00748	0.00001	0.99573	0.99574
20	1.00574	-0.01330	0.00004	0.99239	0.99242
25	1.00896	-0.02077	0.00010	0.98809	0.98816
30	1.01291	-0.02989	0.00021	0.98281	0.98295
35	1.01757	-0.04065	0.00039	0.97653	0.97679
40	1.02294	-0.05303	0.00065	0.96926	0.96969
45	1.02902	-0.06704	0.00101	0.96097	0.96163
50	1.03579	-0.08264	0.00150	0.95165	0.95264
55	1.04323	-0.09981	0.00212	0.94129	0.94269
60	1.05132	-0.11854	0.00289	0.92988	0.93179
65	1.06001	-0.13877	0.00382	0.91742	0.91995
70	1.06925	-0.16047	0.00488	0.90390	0.90716
75	1.07894	-0.18356	0.00608	0.88930	0.89343
80	1.08897	-0.20796	0.00738	0.87362	0.87875
85	1.09914	-0.23356	0.00873	0.85686	0.86312
90	1.10921	-0.26019	0.01006	0.83896	0.84654
92	1.11313	-0.27109	0.01057	0.83148	0.83964
95	1.11880	-0.28765	0.01127	0.81988	0.82901
100	1.12736	-0.31561	0.01224	0.79951	0.81054